Few-Body Systems manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Ho-Meoyng Choi · Chueng-Ryong Ji

Self-consistent covariant description of twist-3 distribution amplitude of a pseudoscalar meson in the light-front quark model

arXiv:1412.3556v1 [hep-ph] 11 Dec 2014

Received: 15 September 2014 / Accepted: 11 November 2014

Abstract We discuss the light-front zero-mode issue in the light-front quark model prediction of the twist-3 distribution amplitude of a pseudoscalar meson from the perspective of the vacuum fluctuation consistent with the chiral symmetry of QCD.

Keywords Twist-3 distribution amplitude · Light-front quark model · Light-front zero-mode

1 Introduction

The meson light-cone distribution amplitudes (DAs) are important elements in applying QCD to hard exclusive processes via the factorization theorem [1; 2]. In studying the exclusive processes, it is expedient to arrange the meson DAs by different twist structures. The leading-twist DA describes the distribution of valence quarks in terms of the longitudinal momentum fractions inside the meson and provides the main contribution to the exclusive processes. The higher-twist DAs depict either contributions from transverse motion of constituents in the leading-twist components or from the higher Fock-states such as quark-antiquark pair terms. Although the higher-twist DAs are suppressed by a factor of $1/Q^2$ in the large momentum transfer Q^2 region compared to the leading twist-2 DAs, their contributions may still be important as nonperturbative inputs together with the leading twist-2 DAs for certain exclusive processes.

Perhaps one of the most convenient and intuitive framework in studying the hadronic DAs is based upon the light-front dynamics (LFD). Particularly, the rational energy-momentum dispersion relation of LFD yields the sign correlation between the LF energy $k^-(= k^0 - k^3)$ and the LF longitudinal momentum $k^+(= k^0+k^3)$ and leads to the suppression of vacuum fluctuations. Despite these advantages in LFD, however, the zero-mode ($k^+ = 0$) complication in the matrix element has been noticed not only for some electroweak form factors between mesons but also for the vector meson decay constant. Especially, meson decay constants are the lowest moments of the meson light-cone DAs. In our recent analysis of the vector meson decay constant and chirality-even twist-2 and twist-3 quark DAs of the vector meson [3], we found that the zero mode depends on the hadronic models with different choice of the LF wave functions. To prove this point, we utilized two models, i.e. exactly solvable manifestly covariant Bethe-Salpeter (BS) model with the multipole ansatz for the $q\bar{q}$ bound-state

This work was supported in part by the Korean Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2010-0009019).

H.-M. Choi
Department of of Physics, Teachers College, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea 702-701
E-mail: homyoung@knu.ac.kr

C.-R. Ji
Department of Physics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8202
E-mail: crji@ncsu.edu

vertex function and the light-front quark model (LFQM) with the more phenomenologically accessible Gaussian type radial wave function. The LFQM using the standard light-front (SLF) approach has been quite successful in describing various static and non-static properties of hadrons. However, as the SLF approach within the LFQM by itself is not amenable to determine the zero-mode contribution, we utilized the manifestly covariant BS model to check the existence (or absence) of the zero mode. Linking the covariant BS model to the standard LFQM, we found the correspondence relation between the two models to give a self-consistent covariant description of the vector meson decay constant within the LFQM. Remarkably, we found in [3] that the nonvanishing zero-mode contributions as well as the instantaneous ones to the vector meson decay amplitude appeared in the covariant BS model vanish exactly in the LFQM with the Gaussian wave function.

The purpose of this work is to extend our previous work to analyze the decay amplitude related with twist-3 DAs of a pseudoscalar meson within the LFQM. The twist-2 DA of a pseudoscalar meson has been analyzed in our previous work of LFQM [4]. While there are two independent twist-3 two-particle DAs of a pseudoscalar meson, namely, $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}$ and $\phi^{\sigma}_{3;M}$ corresponding to pseudoscalar and tensor channels of a meson (M), respectively, we shall study $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}$ in the present work.

The $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}$ is defined in terms of the following matrix elements of gauge invariant nonlocal operators at light-like separation :

$$\langle 0|\bar{q}(z)i\gamma_5 q(-z)|M(P)\rangle = f_M\mu_M\int_0^1 dx e^{i\zeta P\cdot z}\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}(x), \tag{1}$$

where $z^2 = 0$ and P is the four-momentum of the meson ($P^2 = m_M^2$) and the integration variable x corresponds to the longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the quark and $\zeta = 2x - 1$ for the short-hand notation. The Wilson line is set equal to unity by taking the light-cone gauge $A(z)\cdot z = 0$. The normalization parameter $\mu_M = m_M^2/(m_q + m_{\bar{q}})$ in Eq. (1) results from quark condensate. For the pion, $\mu_\pi = -2\langle\bar{q}q\rangle/f_\pi^2$ from the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation. The normalization of the DA is given by $\int_0^1 dx\ \phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}(x) = 1$. In order to check the existence (or absence) of the zero mode, we again utilize the same manifestly covariant model used in the analysis of the vector meson decay constant [3] and then substitute the vertex function with the more phenomenologically accessible Gaussian radial wave function provided by our LFQM. We shall show that the analysis of the decay constants and twist-2 and twist-3 two particle DAs of pseudoscalar mesons fortify our previous conclusion drawn from the vector meson case [3]. That is, the treacherous points such as the zero-mode and the instantaneous contributions present in the covariant BS model disappear in the standard LFQM with the Gaussian radial wave function but nevertheless satisfy the chiral symmetry.

2 Manifestly Covariant Model

Defining the local matrix element $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \langle 0|\bar{q}i\gamma_5 q|M(P)\rangle = f_M\mu_M$ of Eq. (1) for $z^\mu = 0$, we write the one-loop approximation as a momentum integral

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{P}} = N_c\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{H_0}{N_pN_k}\mathrm{Tr}\left[i\gamma_5\left(\not{p}+m_q\right)\gamma_5\left(-\not{k}+m_{\bar{q}}\right)\right], \tag{2}$$

where N_c denotes the number of colors. The denominators $N_p(= p^2 - m_q^2 + i\varepsilon)$ and $N_k(= k^2 - m_{\bar{q}}^2 + i\varepsilon)$ come from the quark propagators of mass m_q and $m_{\bar{q}}$ carrying the internal four-momenta $p = P - k$ and k, respectively. In order to regularize the covariant loop, we use the usual multipole ansatz $H_0 = g/N_\Lambda^2$ for the $q\bar{q}$ bound-state vertex function of a meson, where $N_\Lambda = p^2 - \Lambda^2 + i\varepsilon$, and g and Λ are constant parameters. After a little manipulation, we obtain the manifestly covariant result for $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{P}}$ as follows

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{cov}}_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{N_c g}{4\pi^2}\int_0^1 dx\int_0^{1-x} dy(1-x-y)\left\{\frac{y(1-y)m_M^2 + m_q m_{\bar{q}}}{C_{\mathrm{cov}}^2} - \frac{2}{C_{\mathrm{cov}}}\right\}, \tag{3}$$

where $C_{\mathrm{cov}} = y(1-y)m_M^2 - xm_q^2 - ym_{\bar{q}}^2 - (1-x-y)\Lambda^2$.

For the LF calculation in parallel with the manifestly covariant one, we separate the trace term into the on-mass-shell propagating part $[\mathrm{Tr}]_{\mathrm{on}}$ and the off-mass-shell instantaneous part $[\mathrm{Tr}]_{\mathrm{inst}}$ via $\not{q} = \not{q}_{\mathrm{on}} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^+(q^- - q^-_{\mathrm{on}})$ as $\mathrm{Tr} = [\mathrm{Tr}]_{\mathrm{on}} + [\mathrm{Tr}]_{\mathrm{inst}}$. We also take the reference frame where $\mathbf{P}_\perp = 0$, i.e.,

$P = (P^+, M^2/P^+, 0)$. In this case, the LF energies of the on-mass-shell quark and antiquark are given by $p^-_{\rm on} = (\mathbf{k}^2_\perp + m^2_q)/xP^+$ and $k^-_{\rm on} = (\mathbf{k}^2_\perp + m^2_{\bar q})/(1-x)P^+$, respectively, where $x = p^+/P^+$ is the LF longitudinal momentum fraction of the quark.

By the integration over k^- in Eq. (2) and closing the contour in the lower half of the complex k^- plane, one picks up the residue at $k^- = k^-_{\rm on}$ in the region $0 < k^+ < P^+$ (or $0 < x < 1$). For the purpose of analyzing the zero-mode contribution to the decay amplitude $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}$, we denote $[\mathcal{J}_P]^{\rm LF}_{\rm val}$ (meaning the valence contribution to $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}$) when $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}$ is obtained for $k^- = k^-_{\rm on}$ in the region of $0 < x < 1$. Explicitly, it is given by

$$[\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}]^{\rm LF}_{\rm val} = \frac{N_c}{16\pi^3}\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(1-x)}\int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \chi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm val}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\chi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = \frac{g}{[x(m^2_M - M^2_0)][x(m^2_M - M^2_\Lambda)]^2}, \tag{5}$$

and $M^2_{0(\Lambda)} = [\mathbf{k}^2_\perp + m^2_q(\Lambda^2)]/x + (\mathbf{k}^2_\perp + m^2_{\bar q})/(1-x)$. The trace term for the valence contribution, i.e. $[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm val} = [{\rm Tr}]_{\rm on} + 2k^+(p^- - p^-_{\rm on})$, is given by $[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm val} = 2[M^2_0 - (m_q - m_{\bar q})^2 + (1-x)(m^2_M - M^2_0)]$. We find numerically that $[\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}]^{\rm LF}_{\rm val}$ is not equal to the manifestly covariant result $\mathcal{J}^{\rm cov}_\mathcal{P}$ in Eq. (3). This reveals that the decay amplitude $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}$ receives a LF zero-mode contribution. The LF zero-mode contribution to $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}$ comes from the singular p^- (or equivalently $1/x$) term in the trace in the limit of $x \to 0$ when $p^- = p^-_{\rm on}$, i.e. $\lim_{x\to 0}{\rm Tr}(p^- = p^-_{\rm on}) = 2p^-$. Analogous to that derived in the previous analyses of weak transition form factor calculations [5; 6], we identified the zero-mode operator $[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm Z.M.}$ corresponding to the zero-mode contribution $2p^-$ as follows [3]

$$[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm Z.M.} = 2(-Z_2), \tag{6}$$

where $Z_2 = x(m^2_M - M^2_0) + m^2_q - m^2_{\bar q} + (1-2x)m^2_M$. Note here that there is no momentum transfer q dependence for the calculation of DAs unlike the calculation of form factors. This zero-mode operator $[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm Z.M.}$ can be effectively included in the valence region and thus

$$[\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}]^{\rm LF}_{\rm full} = \frac{N_c}{16\pi^3}\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(1-x)}\int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \chi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm full}, \tag{7}$$

where $[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm full} = [{\rm Tr}]_{\rm val} + [{\rm Tr}]_{\rm Z.M.} = 4[xM^2_0 + m_q(m_{\bar q} - m_q)]$. It can be checked that Eq. (7) is identical to the manifestly covariant result of Eq. (3).

3 Application to Standard Light-Front Quark Model

In the standard LFQM [7; 8], the constituent quark and antiquark in a bound state are required to be on-mass-shell, which is different from the covariant BS formalism in which the constituents are off-mass-shell. In the SLF approach used in the LFQM, the momentum space meson wave function is given by $\Psi^{SS_z}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = \mathcal{R}^{SS_z}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\phi_R(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)$, where ϕ_R is the radial wave function and $\mathcal{R}^{SS_z}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ is the spin-orbit wave function that is obtained by the interaction-independent Melosh transformation from the ordinary spin-orbit wave function assigned by the quantum numbers J^{PC}. The common feature of the standard LFQM is to use the sum (i.e. invariant mass M_0) of the LF energy of the constituent quark and antiquark for the meson mass in $\mathcal{R}^{SS_z}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$. The virtue of using M_0 is to satisfy the normalization of $\mathcal{R}^{SS_z}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ automatically regardless of any kinds of vector mesons, i.e. $\sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\mathcal{R}^{SS_z\dagger}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\mathcal{R}^{SS_z}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} = 1$. The covariant forms of $\mathcal{R}^{SS_z}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ for pseudoscalar and vector mesons are given in [3]. For the radial wave function ϕ_R, we use the Gaussian wave function

$$\phi_R(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = (4\pi^{3/4}/\beta^{3/2})\sqrt{\partial k_z/\partial x}\,\exp(-\mathbf{k}^2/2\beta^2), \tag{8}$$

where β is the variational parameter fixed by the analysis of meson mass spectra [6; 7] and $\partial k_z/\partial x$ is the Jacobian of the variable transformation $\{x,\mathbf{k}_\perp\} \to \mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_z)$.

In our previous analysis of the decay constant and the twist-2 and twist-3 DAs of a vector meson [3], we have shown that the SLF results in the standard LFQM is obtained by replacement of the LF vertex function χ in BS model with the Gaussian wave function ϕ_R as follows (see Eq. (49) in [3])

$$\sqrt{2N_c}\frac{\chi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)}{1-x} \to \frac{\phi_R(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\mathcal{A}^2}},\ m_M \to M_0, \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = (1-x)m_q + xm_{\bar{q}}$. Note that the physical mass m_M included in the integrand of BS amplitude has to be replaced with the invariant mass M_0 ($m_M \to M_0$) since the SLF results in the standard LFQM are obtained from the requirement of all constituents being on their respective mass shell. The correspondence in Eq. (9) is valid again in this analysis of a pseudoscalar meson. Applying the correspondence given by Eq. (9) to the decay amplitude $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}(= f_M\mu_M)$ for pseudoscalar channel given by Eq. (7), we obtain the corresponding LFQM result:

$$[\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}]^{\rm SLF}_{\rm full} = \frac{\sqrt{2N_c}}{2\cdot 16\pi^3}\int_0^1 dx\int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \frac{\phi_R(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\mathcal{A}^2}}[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm full}. \tag{10}$$

Interestingly enough we also found the equality $[\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}]^{\rm SLF}_{\rm full} = [\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}]^{\rm SLF}_{\rm on}$ due to the fact that only the even term in [Tr] with respect to x survives in the SU(2) symmetry limit ($m = m_q = m_{\bar{q}}$) since the Gaussian wave function ϕ_R and other prefactor $\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+m^2}$ are even in x. Decomposing the trace term $[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm full} = 4xM_0^2 = [2+2(2x-1)]M_0^2$ in SU(2) symmetry limit, one can easily find that the nonvanishing contribution from $[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm full}$ is exactly the same as $[{\rm Tr}]_{\rm on} = 2M_0^2$.

Because the matrix element $[\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}]$ is directly related with the twist-3 DA $\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;M}(x)$, our finding in the SU(2) symmetry limit applies also for getting the correct $\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;M}(x)$, i.e. only the solution obtained from $[\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{P}]^{\rm SLF}_{\rm on}$ gives the correct $\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;M}(x)$ in our LFQM, which is given by

$$\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;M}(x) = -\frac{\sqrt{2N_c}}{f_M\mu_M\cdot 16\pi^3}\int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \frac{\phi_R(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\mathcal{A}^2}}[M_0^2-(m_q-m_{\bar{q}})^2], \tag{11}$$

where we remind that $\mu_\pi = -2\langle\bar{q}q\rangle/f_\pi^2$ for the pion ($m = m_q = m_{\bar{q}}$) case.

4 Numerical Results

In our numerical calculations within the standard LFQM, we use two sets of the model parameters (i.e. constituent quark masses m_q and the gaussian parameters $\beta_{q\bar{q}}$) for the linear and harmonic oscillator (HO) confining potentials, which were obtained from the calculation of meson mass spectra using the variational principle in our LFQM [6; 7]. Since the numerical results of the twist-2 DAs of pseudoscalar mesons were given in [4], we shall focus on the calculation of the twist-3 DAs of π an K mesons in this section.

Our LFQM predictions for the decay constants of π and K mesons obtained from the linear [HO] potential parameters are $f_\pi^{\rm SLF} = 130$ [131] MeV and $f_K^{\rm SLF} = 161$ [155] MeV, which are in good agreement with the experimental data [9]; $f_\pi^{\rm Exp.} = (130.41 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.20)$ MeV and $f_K^{\rm Exp.} = (156.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3)$ MeV. We then obtain the quark condensate $\langle q\bar{q}\rangle$, which enters the normalization of twist-3 pion DA $\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;\pi}(x)$ given by Eq. (11), as $-(285.8{\rm MeV})^3[-(263.7{\rm MeV})^3]$ for the linear [HO] potential parameters. Our LFQM results, especially the one obtained from HO parameters, are quite comparable with the commonly used phenomenological value $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle = -(250{\rm MeV})^3$.

In Fig. 1, we show the twist-3 DAs $\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;M}(x)$ [see Eq. (11)], which is free from the explicit instantaneous as well as zero-mode contributions, for π (left panel) and K (right panel) mesons obtained from the linear (solid line) and HO (dashed line) parameters. We also compare our results with the the asymptotic DA $[\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;M}]_{\rm as}(x) = 1$ (dotted line) [10] as well as the QCD sum rule (QCDSR) prediction (dot-dashed line) [11]. For the pion case, our results obtained from both model parameters not only show the symmetric forms anticipated from the isospin symmetry but also reproduce the exact asymptotic result $[\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;\pi}]_{\rm as}(x) = 1$ in the chiral symmetry ($m_q \to 0$) limit. Our LFQM result of $\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;\pi}(x) \to [\phi^\mathcal{P}_{3;\pi}]_{\rm as}(x)$ in the chiral symmetry limit is consistent with the conclusion drawn from our



Fig. 1 The twist-3 DAs $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}(x)$ for π (left panel) and K (right panel) mesons obtained from the linear (solid line) and HO (dashed line) parameters compared with the QCD sum rule result (dot-dashed line) [11] as well as the asymptotic one (dotted line) [10].

Table 1 The Gegenbauer moments of twist-3 $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;\pi}$ for pion obtained from the linear and HO potential models compared other model estimates.

Models	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{2,\pi}$	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{4,\pi}$	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{6,\pi}$
HO	-0.5816	-0.4110	-0.1725
Linear	-0.3979	-0.3739	-0.2500
SR [11]	0.4373	-0.0715	-0.1969
χQM [12]	-0.4307	-0.5559	-0.1784

Table 2 The Gegenbauer moments of twist-3 $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;K}$ for kaon obtained from the linear and HO potential models compared other model estimates.

Models	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{1,K}$	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{2,K}$	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{3,K}$	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{4,K}$	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{5,K}$	$a^{\mathcal{P}}_{6,K}$
HO	0.3187	-0.7800	-0.0647	-0.2923	-0.2223	-0.0396
Linear	0.2662	-0.6104	0.0486	-0.3361	-0.1454	-0.1161
SR [11]	0.1837	0.2707	0.3953	-0.2469	0.0550	-0.2436
χQM [12]	0.0236	-0.6468	-0.0367	-0.3724	-0.0200	-0.0940

previous analysis [3] of the twist-2 ($\phi^{||}_{2;\rho}(x)$) and twist-3 ($\phi^{\perp}_{3;\rho}(x)$) ρ meson DAs, where both DAs also remarkably reproduce the exact asymptotic DAs in the chiral symmetry limit. This example shows again that our LFQM prediction satisfies the chiral symmetry consistent with the QCD if one correctly implement the zero-mode link to the QCD vacuum. It is also interesting to note that while our results of $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;\pi}(x)$ become zero at the end points of x, the result of Ref. [11] obtained from using the current quark masses does not vanish at the end points. For K meson case, we assign the momentum fractions x for s-quark and $(1-x)$ for the light $u(d)$-quark. Due to the flavor SU(3) symmetry breaking effect, $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;K}(x)$ obtained from both model parameters are asymmetric and the peak points are moved to the right of the $x = 0.5$ point indicating that the s-quark carries more longitudinal momentum fraction than the light $u(d)$-quark. As in the case of pion, our results of $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;K}(x)$ are very different from that of Ref. [11]. The twist-3 quark DA can be expanded in terms of the Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^{1/2}$ as $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M} = [\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}]_{\rm as}(x)\Big[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{\mathcal{P}}_{n,M} C_n^{1/2}(2x-1)\Big]$, where $[\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}]_{\rm as}(x) = 1$. The coefficients $a^{\mathcal{P}}_{n,M}$ are called the Gegenbauer moments and can be obtained by $a^{\mathcal{P}}_{n,M}(x) = (2n+1)\int_0^1 dx C_n^{1/2}(2x-1)\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}(x)$.

In Tables 1 and 2, we list the calculated Gegenbauer moments of twist-3 pion and kaon DAs, respectively, obtained from the linear and HO potential models at the scale $\mu \sim 1$ GeV. We also

compare our results with other model estimates calculated at the scale $\mu = 1$ GeV, e.g. QCD sum rules [11] and the chiral quark model (χQM) [12]. For the pion case, as expected from the isospin symmetry, all odd Gegenbauer moments are all zero. While our results are quite different from those of QCD sum rules [11], they are consistent with the χQM predictions [12]. For the kaon case, the odd moments are nonzero due to the flavor SU(3) symmetry breaking effects and the first moments $a^{\mathcal{P}}_{1,K}$ is proportional to the difference between the longitudinal momenta of the strange and nonstrange quark in the two-particle Fock component. Our results for the twist-3 DA are overall in good agreement with those of χQM [12] but quite different from QCD sum rule estimates [11]. We also should note that the very small value of $a^{\mathcal{P}}_{1,K}$ obtained from χQM [12] is due to the more symmetric and flat shape of DA than ours.

5 Summary

In this paper, following our previous work [3], we have discussed a wave function dependence of the LF zero-mode contributions to the twist-3 two-particle DA $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;M}$ of a pseudoscalar meson between the two models, i.e. the exactly solvable manifestly covariant BS model and the more phenomenologically accessible realistic LFQM using the SLF approach. Linking the covariant BS model to the standard LFQM, we found that the correspondence relation given by Eq. (9) between the two models applies not only for the vector meson [3] but also for the pseudoscalar meson. The remarkable finding in linking the covariant BS model to the standard LFQM is that the treacherous points such as the zero-mode contributions and the instantaneous ones existed in the covariant BS model become absent in the LFQM with the Gaussian wave function. Our numerical results of $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;\pi}$ not only show the symmetric forms anticipated from the isospin symmetry but also reproduce the exact asymptotic result $[\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;\pi}]_{\rm as}(x) = 1$ in the chiral symmetry ($m_q \to 0$) limit. For the kaon case, the results of $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}_{3;K}$ show asymmetric form because of the flavor SU(3) symmetry breaking effects. Our results for the Gegenbauer moments of twist-3 pion and kaon DAs are overall in good agreement with the chiral quark model [12] but quite different from those of QCD sum rule estimates [11]. Since we have shown that the absence/existence of the zero-mode contribution as well as the instantaneous contribution to the twist-3 DA may depend on the used bound state vertex function, it would be interesting to study this process with other vertex function such the symmetric product ansatz suggested in Eq. (38) of Ref. [13].

References

1. G.P. Lepage, S.J. Brodsky (1980) Exclusive processes in perturbative quantum chromodynamics. Phys. Rev. D 22: 2157-2198.
2. A.V.Efremov, A.V. Radyushkin (1980) Factorization and asymptotic behaviour of pion form factor in QCD. Phys. Lett. B 94: 245-250.
3. H.-M. Choi, C.-R. Ji (2014) Self-consistent covariant description of vector meson decay constants and chrirality-even quar-antiquark distribution amplitudes up to twist3 in the light-front quark model. Phys. Rev. D 89: 033011.
4. H.-M. Choi, C.-R. Ji (2007) Distribution amplitudes and decay constants for (π, K, ρ, K^*) mesons in the light-front quark model. Phys. Rev. D 75: 034019.
5. W. Jaus (1999) Covariant analysis of the light-front quark model. Phys. Rev. D 60: 054026.
6. H.-M. Choi, C.-R. Ji (2009) Semileptonic and radiative decays of the B_c meson in the light-front quark model. Phys. Rev. D 80: 054016.
7. H.-M. Choi, C.-R. Ji (1999) Mixing angles and electromagnetic properties of ground state pseudoscalar and vector meson nonets in the light cone quark model. Phys. Rev. D 59: 074015.
8. W. Jaus (1991) Relativistic constituent quark model of electroweak properties of light mesons. Phys. Rev. D 44: 2851-2859.
9. K.A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group) (2014) The Review of Particle Physics. Chin. Phys. C 38: 090001.
10. V. M. Braun, I.B. Filyanov (1990) Conformal invariance and pion wave functions of nonleading twist. Z. Phys. C 48; 239-247.
11. P. Ball, V. M. Braun, A. Lenz (2006) Higher-twist distribution amplitudes of the K meson in QCD. JHEP 05: 004.
12. S.-I. Nam, H.-Y. Kim (2006) Twist-3 pion and kaon distribution amplitudes from the instanton vacuum with flavor SU(3) symmetry breaking. Phys. Rev. D 74: 096007.
13. T. Frederico, E. Pace, B. Pasquini, and G. Salme (2009) Pion generalized parton distribution with covariant and light-front constituent quark models. Phys. Rev. D 80: 054021.